Contracts Expiring set for Commission Review For the Month of November 2025 (November 19, 2025)

Vendor	Contract Description	Contract Value /	Revenue	Net	Contract	Deadline to	Due Date for Commission
		Budgeted Estimate		Revenue / (Cost)	st) Expiration C	Cancel/Renew	Review (90 Days Prior to
					Date	Contract	Deadline)
Cobblestone Systems Corp.	ms Corp. Contract Management Software	\$31,679.22	00.0\$)	\$31,679.22) 11/25/2025	10/26/2025	8/27/2025

Original Terms: Initial term of one (1) year with automatic renewals of one (1) year periods.

Current Period: Fifth Year Renewal (11/26/2024 - 11/25/2025)

New Period: Sixth Year Renewal (11/26/2025 - 11/25/2026)

Type of Contract: Expense

Performance: A

Recommend for Renewal: Yes

Department Comments: Notes:

Vendor Name:	Cobblestone Systems Corporation	
Contract Purpose:	Contract Management Software	
Rating Categories	Maximum <u>Points</u>	Department Head <u>Rating</u>
1. Software support meets expectations	25	25
2. Adaptability of Software	25	25
3. Are all requirements of the contract being	met 25	25
4. Department overall satisfaction	25	25
	100	100
	A = 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60	
Pagammand Panawal2	F = 59 - 0	Applicable
Recommend Renewal?		Applicable
Recommend Renewal? Department Comments:		Applicable
Department Comments:	Oniel Garcia / Contracts Manager	10/28/2025
Department Comments: Department Representative (Signature)	See Yes / □ No / □ Note See Yes / □ Note	

Vendor Name:	Airgas USA, LLC.	
Contract Purpose:	Carbon Dioxide, Liquid, Bulk Delivery, CO-OP Agreement #T-11-23	
Rating Categories	Maximum <u>Points</u>	Department Head <u>Rating</u>
1. Service(s) Completed on time	25	25
2. Quality of Service(s)	30	30
3. Are all requirements of the contract being	met 25	25
4. Department overall satisfaction	20	20
	100	100
	A = 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60 F = 59 - 0	
	1 - 39 - 0	_
Recommend Renewal?	<u> </u>	t Applicable
Recommend Renewal? Department Comments:	<u> </u>	et Applicable
Department Comments:	Cathy Hernandez - UT Business Man	ager - 10/21/25
	☐ Yes /☐ No / ÆÍ No	ager - 10/21/25 Date

1. Service(s) Completed on time 2. Quality of Service(s) 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 2. Quality of Service(s) 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department Comments: Ves	Vendor Name:	Clean Freek's Janitorial Services, I	LLC	
Rating Categories Maximum Head Rating	Contract Purpose:	Janitorial Services for the Fire Department		
Maximum Points Head Rating	Contract i dipose.			
1. Service(s) Completed on time 2. Quality of Service(s) 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 2. Quality of Service(s) 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 2. Department overall satisfaction 3. Are all requirements overa		Maximum		
2. Quality of Service(s) 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department overall satisfaction 2. Department Comments:	Rating Categories	<u>Points</u>	Rating	
3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 20 16 100 84 A = 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60 F = 59 - 0 The Fire Department will not be renewing the janitorial services agreement with Clean Feek's, as all renewal options under the current contract have been exhausted. While the company met the basic requirements outlined in the agreement, the overall quality of service fell below expectations. The owner was regularly reminded to improve performance and communicate necessary adjustments to his staff; however, there appeared to be ongoing communication breakdowns between management and cleaning personnel. The Fire Department will issue a new request for proposals (RFP) to identify a qualified vendor to provide janitorial services once the current agreement expires. Department Representative (Signature) Name & Title Date Manualia Auditage Manualia Radiage Manualia Rad	1. Service(s) Completed on time	25	20	
A = 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60 F = 59 - 0 Yes /	2. Quality of Service(s)	30	23	
Recommend Renewal? A = 100 - 90	3. Are all requirements of the contract being	met 25	25	
Recommend Renewal? Yes	4. Department overall satisfaction	20	16	
Recommend Renewal? Yes		100	84	
Department Representative (Signature) Name & Title Date Maccelino Rochigovec H 10/8/2	Recommend Renewal? Department Comments:	B = 89 - 86 C = 79 - 76 D = 69 - 66 F = 59 - 0 The Fire Department will not be renew services agreement with Clean Feek's options under the current contract haw while the company met the basic requirement, the overall quality of expectations. The owner was regularly improve performance and communications adjustments to his staff; however, the ongoing communication breakdowns management and cleaning personnel Department will issue a new request to identify a qualified vendor to provide	Not Applicable ving the janitorial s, as all renewal we been exhausted. uirements outlined of service fell below y reminded to ate necessary ere appeared to be between . The Fire for proposals (RFP)	
Maxella Tichery Marchino Rochigover H 10/8/2		Frank Martin	10/8/25	
Madella giolica	Department Representative (Signature)	1	1 1	
Department desd Approvationnable) Name & the	Department Head Approval (Signature)	Mancelino Kochiguez Name & Title	H 10/8/2	

Ferguson Enterprises, LLC.

Vendor Name:	Ferguson Enterprises, LLC.	
Contract Purpose:	Neptune Commodities and System Maintenance	
		Department
Rating Categories	Maximum <u>Points</u>	Head Rating
1. Work Completed on time	25	25
2. Quality of Work	30	20
3. Are all requirements of the contract being	met 25	25
4. Department overall satisfaction	20	20
	100	90
	A = 100 - 90	1
	B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70	
	D = 69 - 60 F = 59 - 0	
	F = 59 - 0	
Recommend Renewal?	Yes No No	t Applicable
Department Comments:	Ferguson & Neptune have been great	
	Whenever a problem occurs they work resolve the issue and come up with a	A POST A
	action. All requirements of the contract	The state of the s
	met. My recommendation is for the Cit	
	Pembroke Pines to look into doing a p with celluar meters through Neptune/F	The state of the s
	take the city to the next level of meteri	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR AND
	technology. The resoning for the lower	er score on the
	quality of work is because, we do have	
	with meters that are malfunctioning ar back for warrenty repairs. Neptune do	
	warrenty and sends back replacement	
Chad Bergeron	Chad Bergeron C&D Manager 10)/21/2025
Department Representative (Signature)	Name & Title	Date
1		
X: 14/01	Timothy A. Welh	12/20/25

(Check the option that applies)

Vendor Name:	Industrial Communications and Electronics Inc.		
Contract Purpose:	Radio Airtime for Two-Way Radios		
Rating Categories	Maximum <u>Points</u>	Department Head <u>Rating</u>	
1. Service(s) Completed on time	25	25	
2. Quality of Service(s)	30	30	
3. Are all requirements of the contract being i	net 25	25	
4. Department overall satisfaction	20	20	
	100	100	
	A = 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60 F = 59 - 0		
Recommend Renewal?	☐ Yes / ☐ No / X Not Applicable	<u>e</u>	
	Good service,work completed in a timel maner,Happy with work provided	у	
James Morney		9-2025	
Department Representative (Signature)	Name & Title D	ate	
Department Head Approval (Signature)	Name & Title PS Divector D	0 <u>113125</u> ate	

Vendor Name: MILLER LEGG & ASSOCIATES, INC. RFQ # PSEN-19-09 Land Surveying Services **Contract Purpose:** Department Maximum Head **Rating Categories** Points Rating 1. Work Completed on time 24 25 2. Quality of Work 30 30 3. Are all requirements of the contract being met 25 24 4. Department overall satisfaction 20 20 100 98 100 - 90 B = 89 - 80 C = 79 - 70 D = 69 - 60 59 - 0 **Recommend Renewal?** / 🗆 No / X Not Applicable **Department Comments:** MILLER LEGG HAS PROVIDED COMPREHENSIVE SURVEYING SERVICES OF VARYING TYPES AS REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEERING DIVISION AND OTHER CITY DEPARTMENTS AND THEIR ASSIGNED TASKS/SERVICES WERE COMPLETED IN A TIMELY MANNER. MILLER LEGG COORDINATED CLOSELY WITH CITY STAFF TO ENSURE THE CITY'S NEEDS WERE BEING MET. ALL TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS, SPECIFIC PURPOSE SURVEYS AND/OR SKETCHES AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS PREPARED ON BEHALF OF THE CITY ALWAYS ADDRESSED THE CITY STAFF'S REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH ASSIGNED TASK/SERVICE. Digitally signed by John L. John L. England, P.E. England, P.E. Date: 2025.10.21 JOHN L. ENGLAND, P.E., ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER 10/21/25 Department Representative (Signature) Name & Title Date Karl M. Kennedy Digitally signed by Karl M. Kennedy Date: 2025.10.28 08:36:31 -0400' KARL M. KENNEDY, P.E., CITY ENGINEER 10/9/25

Name & Title

Date

Department Head Approval (Signature)