



City of Pembroke Pines
Procurement
Mark Gomes, Assistant City Manager / Director of Procurement & Sustainability
601 City Center Way, Pembroke Pines, FL 33025

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services
RESPONSE DEADLINE: September 16, 2025 at 2:00 pm

PHASE 1

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Laura Eusse	Project Manager	Jul 13, 2026 2:40 PM
Armando Godoy	Professional Engineer	Jul 13, 2026 11:29 AM
Jose Porta	CIP Division Director	Jul 7, 2026 2:15 PM
Paul Thompson	Asst. Utilities Director	Jul 14, 2026 5:14 PM
Diana Zamudio	Engineer Assistant	Jul 9, 2026 5:40 PM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Adequacy of Personnel / Ability of Professional Personnel	0-5 Points	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Past Record / Past Performance	0-5 Points	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Capabilities	0-5 Points	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	0-5 Points	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Experience (of the firm or individual)	0-5 Points	15 <i>(15% of Total)</i>

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Not Applicable - The Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary, as both firms were found to be qualified and were advanced to the second phase of the evaluation process for presentations and discussions.

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Not Applicable - The Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary, as both firms were found to be qualified and were advanced to the second phase of the evaluation process for presentations and discussions.

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

Not Applicable - The Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary, as both firms were found to be qualified and were advanced to the second phase of the evaluation process for presentations and discussions.

PHASE 2

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Laura Eusse	Project Manager	Jul 13, 2026 2:40 PM
Armando Godoy	Professional Engineer	Jul 13, 2026 11:29 AM
Jose Porta	CIP Division Director	Jul 7, 2026 2:15 PM
Paul Thompson	Asst. Utilities Director	Jul 14, 2026 5:14 PM
Diana Zamudio	Engineer Assistant	Jul 9, 2026 5:40 PM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Adequacy of Personnel / Ability of Professional Personnel	0-5 Points	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Past Record / Past Performance	0-5 Points	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	0-5 Points	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	0-5 Points	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	0-5 Points	10 (10% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following scale for scoring the "Quality Level" for the weighted criteria:

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services

Quality Level:	Deficient	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality Score:	0	1	2	3	4	5

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Location	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)

Description:

The Evaluation Committee will utilize the following when calculating the weighted score of each vendor related to the Location criteria.

The Location submitted by each proposer will be entered into Google Maps and the shortest distance, in miles (not minutes), shown on Google Maps from the proposer's location to the City's address of **City Hall, 601 City Center Way, Pembroke Pines, FL 33025** will be used to determine the **Shortest Distance** from of the proposer's location.

Then the **Shortest Overall Distance** will be divided by **Proposer "X" Shortest Distance** times the **Maximum Available Points for the Pricing Criteria = Proposer "X" Location Score**.

***Example:** If the Maximum Points Available for the "Location" criteria is 5 points, the scores would be calculated as follows, based on the sample data for the five firms listed below:*

Firm	Shortest Distance	Calculation	Points
A	11.70 miles	11.70/11.70 x 5 points	5.00
B	12.70 miles	11.70/12.70 x 5 points	4.61
C	14.10 miles	11.70/14.10 x 5 points	4.15
D	18.20 miles	11.70/18.20 x 5 points	3.21
E	21.20 miles	11.70/21.20 x 5 points	2.76

Note - Firm "A" had the shortest overall distance of 11.70 miles when compared to all of the firms.

EVALUATION TABULATION
RFQ No. PSUT-25-10
Power Electrical Engineering Services

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)

Description:

Please note that the Local Vendor Preference is used to evaluate the submittals received from proposers and are assigned point totals, a preference of five (5) points of the total evaluation point shall be given to the Local Pembroke Pines Vendor(s); a preference of two and a half (2.5) points of the total evaluation point shall be given to the Local Broward County Vendor(s), all other vendors shall receive zero (0) points. Vendors must submit the attached Local Vendor Preference Certification Form in order to qualify for these evaluation points.

Veteran Owned Small Business (VOSB) is also used to evaluate the submittals received from proposers and are assigned point totals, a preference of two and a half (2.5) points of the total evaluation point shall be given to the Veteran Owned Small Businesses. Vendors must submit the attached Veteran Owned Small Business Preference Certification Form in order to qualify for these evaluation points.

Please note that if a business qualifies for both Local Vendor Preference and Veteran-Owned Small Business Preference, only the higher point value will be awarded. Combined points for both preferences will not be granted.

All other vendors shall receive zero (0) points.

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Not Applicable - Following presentations and discussions, the Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary and recommended that City Administration proceed with contract negotiations with both firms.

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Not Applicable - Following presentations and discussions, the Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary and recommended that City Administration proceed with contract negotiations with both firms.

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

Not Applicable - Following presentations and discussions, the Evaluation Committee determined that scoring the proposals was not necessary and recommended that City Administration proceed with contract negotiations with both firms.