

Summary of All Scores

RFP # ED-18-02

"Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluation Committee Score Sheet
Wednesday, July 10, 2019

	Evaluator/Vendor	M. Castellano	P. Bayer	Y. Rodriguez	J. Bonilla	S. Chance	Total	Rank
A	ReaXium Inc.	1	1	1	1	1	5	1
B	Transfinder Corporation	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
C	Artha Systems LLC	3	3	3	3	3	15	3

Certifier of Score

Katherine Bongstrom

Please Print Name

Katherine Bongstrom

Signature

7/10/2019

Date

RFP #ED-18-02 "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluator Score Sheet
July 10, 2019

Instructions:
Each Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals:

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tabulation

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

- 4) RFP #ED-18-02 - "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"
- 5) Vendor Responses
- 6) Reference Checks

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability; no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

For the "Project Cost" and "Revenue Sharing Option" categories, the score will be calculated based on the method outlined in Section 1.7.1 of the RFP.

For categories such as "Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a Local Pembroke Pines Vendor, 2.5 points if they are a Local Broward County Vendor or Veteran Owned Small Business Preference, or 0 points if they have No Local Vendor or VOSB Preference.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following tab.

	Category Multiplier	Experience and Ability	Relevant Past Projects	Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	Project Cost	Revenue Sharing Option	Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference	Total	Rank
	Maximum Score	5	5	5	3	1	1	100.00	
	Sample	25	25	25	15	5	5		
		2 - Fair	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	Calculation	Calculation	No Local Vendor or VOSB	41.00	
		10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	1.00	0.00		
		4 - Very Good	3 - Good	5 - Excellent			No Local Vendor		
A	ReaXium, Inc.	20.00	15.00	25.00	15.00	5.00	0.00	80.00	1
		4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good			No Local Vendor		
B	Transfinder Corporation	20.00	20.00	20.00	3.75	0.00	0.00	63.75	2
		2 - Fair	1 - Poor	2 - Fair			No Local Vendor		
C	Artha Systems LLC	10.00	5.00	10.00	8.81	0.00	0.00	33.81	3

Note: In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

Certifier of Score:

Yaihelys Rodriguez
Please Print Name


Signature

Date

7/10/19

RFP # ED-18-02 "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluator Score Sheet
July 10, 2019

Instructions:
Each Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals:

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tabulation

- 4) RFP # ED-18-02 - "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"
- 5) Vendors' Responses
- 6) Reference Checks

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability; no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

For the "Project Cost" and "Revenue Sharing Option" categories, the score will be calculated based on the method outlined in Section 1.7.1 of the RFP.

For categories such as "Local Vendor Preference/ Veteran Owned Small Business Preference", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a Local Pembroke Pines Vendor, 2.5 points if they are a Local Broward County Vendor or Veteran Owned Small Business Preference, or 0 points if they have No Local Vendor or VOSB Preference.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following tab.

	Experience and Ability	Relevant Past Projects	Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	Project Cost	Revenue Sharing Option	Local Vendor Preference/ Veteran Owned Small Business Preference	Total	Rank
Category Multiplier	5	5	5	3	1	1	100.00	
Maximum Score	25	25	25	15	5	5		
Sample	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	Calculation	Calculation	No Local Vendor or VOSB	41.00	
	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	1.00	0.00		
	4 - Very Good	3 - Good	5 - Excellent			No Local Vendor		
A ReaXium, Inc.	20.00	15.00	25.00	15.00	5.00	0.00	80.00	1
	4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good			No Local Vendor		
B Transfinder Corporation	20.00	20.00	20.00	3.75	0.00	0.00	63.75	2
	2 - Fair	1 - Poor	2 - Fair			No Local Vendor		
C Artha Systems LLC	10.00	5.00	10.00	8.81	0.00	0.00	33.81	3

Note: In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

Certifier of Score:

Jonathan Bonilla
Please Print Name

Signature

Date

7/10/19

RFP # ED-18-02
"Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluator Score Sheet
 July 10, 2019

Instructions:
 Each Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals:

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tabulation

- 4) RFP # ED-18-02 - "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"
- 5) Vendors' Responses
- 6) Reference Checks

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability; no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

For the "Project Cost" and "Revenue Sharing Option" categories, the score will be calculated based on the method outlined in Section 1.7.1 of the RFP.

For categories such as "Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a Local Pembroke Pines Vendor, 2.5 points if they are a Local Broward County Vendor or Veteran Owned Small Business Preference, or 0 points if they have No Local Vendor or VOSB Preference.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.


Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following tab.

	Experience and Ability	Relevant Past Projects	Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	Project Cost	Revenue Sharing Option	Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference	Total	Rank
Category Multiplier	5	5	5	3	1	1	100.00	
Maximum Score	25	25	25	15	5	5		
Sample	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	Calculation	Calculation	No Local Vendor or VOSB	41.00	
	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	1.00	0.00		
	3 - Good	3 - Good	5 - Excellent			No Local Vendor		
A ReaXium, Inc.	15.00	15.00	25.00	15.00	5.00	0.00	75.00	1
B Transfinder Corporation	5 - Excellent	3 - Good	5 - Excellent			No Local Vendor		
	25.00	15.00	25.00	3.75	0.00	0.00	68.75	2
	2 - Fair	1 - Poor	1 - Poor			No Local Vendor		
C Artha Systems LLC	10.00	5.00	5.00	8.81	0.00	0.00	28.81	3

Note: In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

Certifier of Score:

Please Print Name
 Peter Bayer



Signature

Date

7-10-19

RFP # ED-18-02 "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluator Score Sheet
July 10, 2019

Instructions:
Each Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals:

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tabulation

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability, no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths, few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient, significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

For the "Project Cost" and "Revenue Sharing Option" categories, the score will be calculated based on the method outlined in Section 1.7.1 of the RFP.

For categories such as "Local Vendor Preference/Veteran Owned Small Business Preference", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a Local Pembroke Pines Vendor, 2.5 points if they are a Local Broward County Vendor or Veteran Owned Small Business Preference, or 0 points if they have No Local Vendor or VOSB Preference.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following tab.

	Experience and Ability	Relevant Past Projects	Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	Project Cost	Revenue Sharing Option	Local Vendor Preference/ Veteran Owned Small Business Preference*	Total	Rank	
Category Multiplier	5	5	5	3	1	1	100.00		
	25	25	25	15	5	5			
Sample	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	Calculation	Calculation	No Local Vendor or VOSB	41.00		
	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	1.00	0.00			
	3 - Good	3 - Good	5 - Excellent			No Local Vendor			
A	15.00	15.00	25.00	15.00	5.00	0.00	75.00	1	
B	5 - Excellent	4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good			No Local Vendor	68.75	2	
	25.00	20.00	20.00	3.75	0.00	0.00			
	2 - Fair	1 - Poor	2 - Fair			No Local Vendor			
C	10.00	5.00	10.00	8.81	0.00	0.00	33.81	3	

Note: In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

Certifier of Score:

Sean Chance
Please Print Name


Signature

7/10/19
Date

RFP # ED-18-02 "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"

Evaluator Score Sheet
July 10, 2019

Instructions:
Each Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals:

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tabulation

- 4) RFP # ED-18-02 - "Charter School Bus and Student Tracker"
- 5) Vendors' Responses
- 6) Reference Checks

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability; no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

For the "Project Cost" and "Revenue Sharing Option" categories, the score will be calculated based on the method outlined in Section 1.7.1 of the RFP.

For categories such as "Local Vendor Preference/ Veteran Owned Small Business Preference", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a Local Pembroke Pines Vendor, 2.5 points if they are a Local Broward County Vendor or Veteran Owned Small Business Preference, or 0 points if they have No Local Vendor or VOSB Preference.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following tab.

	Experience and Ability	Relevant Past Projects	Firm's Understanding and Approach to the Work	Project Cost	Revenue Sharing Option	Local Vendor Preference/ Veteran Owned Small Business Preference	Total	Rank
Category Multiplier	5	5	5	3	1	1	100.00	
Maximum Score	25	25	25	15	5	5		
Sample	5 - Excellent	2 - Fair	2 - Fair	Calculation	Calculation	No Local Vendor or VOSB	56.00	
	25.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	1.00	0.00		
	3 - Good	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent	5 - Excellent	5 - Excellent	3 - Good	83.00	
A ReaXium, Inc.	15.00	20.00	25.00	15.00	5.00	3.00		
	4 - Very Good	4 - Very Good	3 - Good	1 - Poor	0 - Deficient	3 - Good	61.38	
B Transfinder Corporation	20.00	20.00	15.00	3.38	0.00	3.00		
	1 - Poor	1 - Poor	1 - Poor	2 - Fair	0 - Deficient	0 - Deficient	23.81	
C Artha Systems LLC	5.00	5.00	5.00	8.81	0.00	0.00		

Note: In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

Certifier of Score:

Michael Castellano
Please Print Name


Signature

Date

7/10/2019