Summary of All Scores

"Design & Post Services - Poinciana Drive" CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05

Evaluation Committee Score Sheet Tuesday, July 02, 2019

	Evaluator/Vender	Jonathan	Karl	John	George	Steven	Total	Jaco
	Lyaluatol/velluol	Cooper	Kennedy	England	Wrves	Buckland	וטומו	NAIIR
⋖	A Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	_	2	1	2	_	7	┖
В	Baxter & Woodman Consulting Engineers	2	1	2	1	2	8	2

Certifier of Score

Please Print Name

Signature

Date

1/2/2019

Evaluator Score Sheet July 2, 2019

Instructions:

Each /Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tab for PSEN-18-02-05
 4) CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05 "Design & Post Services Poinciana Drive"

5) Vendor's Responses 6) RFQ # PSEN-18-02 - Professional Service Providers

7) Bid Tab for RFQ # PSEN-18-02 8) Firms statements of qualifications and response to RFQ # PSEN-18-02

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in

Quality	Level	Description	
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability, no offsetting weaknesses.	
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.	
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements, strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.	
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths, may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.	
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient, significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.	
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements:	

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

When scoring on a computer, you can click on the pink cells to choose a "Quality" from the dropdown list which will automatically calculate the corresponding score for that Category.

For categories such as "Whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a certified minority business enterprise or 0 points if they are not a certified minority business enterprise.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following

		Ability of Professional Personnel	Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Past Record	Willingness to meet time antibudget requirements	Location	Percent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	Total	Rank	Notes/Comments
	Category Multiplier	6	N/A	6	3	2	2	100.00		
	Maximum Score	30	6	30	15	10	10	100.00	Dec 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
7	Sample	Excellent	Certified Minority Eusiness Enterprise	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	74.00		
		30.00	5.00	24:00	9:00	4.00	2.00			
A	Kimley-Horn and	Very Good	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	89.00 2	Great presentation, but omittee	Great presentation, but omitted a
^	Associates, Inc.	24.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	10.00		conceptual presentation/approach	
В	Baxter & Woodman	Excellent	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	95.00 1	1	Detail analysis of exsiting conditions
- в	Consulting Engineers	30.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	33.00		coupled with conceptual design.

Note: In the event a score for an Individual evaluator results in a tie or the overall score results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and rank the tied vendors based on the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the City, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie by publicly drawing lots.

Once the scores have been read for all services, an evaluating member of the committee must make a motion, which must be approved by majority vote of the committee

Certifier of Score: 7/2/19 Date George Wrves
Please Print Name

Evaluator Score Sheet July 2, 2019

Instructions:

Each /Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- 2) This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
- 3) Bid Tab for PSEN-18-02-05
 4) CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05 "Design & Post Services Poinciana Drive"

5) Vendor's Responses 6) RFQ # PSEN-18-02 - Professional Service Providers

7) Bid Tab for RFQ # PSEN-18-02
8) Firms statements of qualifications and response to RFQ # PSEN-18-02

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability, no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths, may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

When scoring on a computer, you can click on the pink cells to choose a "Quality" from the dropdown list which will automatically calculate the corresponding score for that Category.

For categories such as "Whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a certified minority business enterprise or 0 points if they are not a certified minority business enterprise.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following

		Ability of Professional Personnal	Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Past Record	Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	Location	Recent, current, end projected worldoeds of the firms	Total	Rank	Notes/Comments
	Category Multiplier	6	NA	8	3	2	2	100.00		
	Maximum Score	30	5	30	15	10	10	100.00		
Š.	Sample	Excellent	Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	74.00		
		30.00	5.00	24.00	9.00	4.00	2.00		4 1000	
A	Kimley-Horn and	Very Good	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	89.00 2	2	
^	Associates, Inc.	24.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	10.00		_	
В	Baxter & Woodman	Excellent	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	95.00	1	
	Consulting Engineers	30.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	33.00		

Note: In the event a score for an individual evaluator results in a tie or the overall score results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and rank the field vendors based on the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the City, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie by publicly drawing lots.

Once the scores have been read for all services, an evaluating member of the committee must make a motion, which must be approved by majority vote of the committee.

Certifier of Score:	Ma	7,2,19
Karl Kennedy Please Print Name	Signature	Date

A) Kimley-Horn and A	Associates, Inc.
Criteria	Notes/Comments
Ability of Professional Personnel	Did not provide analysis of current project in RFQ response but did review during presentation
Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business	
Past Record	
Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	<u> </u>
Location	local in BC - closest to site.
Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	

B) Baxter & Woodmar	Consulting Engineers
Criteria	Notes/Comments
Ability of Professional Personnel	Performed field investigation of roadway and provided comments for RFQ response and provided very detailed analysis during presentation
Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business	
Past Record	
Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	
Location	local in BC
Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	

A) Kimley-Horn and A	Associates, Inc.
Criteria	Notes/Comments
Ability of Professional Personnel	Did not provide analysis of current project in RFQ response but did review during presentation
Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business	
Past Record	
Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	
Location	local in BC - closest to site.
Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	

B) Baxter & Woodman	n Consulting Engineers
Criteria	Notes/Comments
Ability of Professional Personnel	Performed field investigation of roadway and provided comments for RFQ response and provided very detailed analysis during presentation
Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business	
Past Record	
Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	_
Location	local in BC
Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	

Certifier of Notes:	
Karl Kennedy	
Please Print Name	
Signature	
07 02 2019	
Date	

Evaluator Score Sheet July 2, 2019

Each /Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals

- Evaluation Instructions
 This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
 Bid Tab for PSEN-18-02-05
- 4) CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05 "Design & Post Services Poinciana Drive"

5) Vendor's Responses 6) RFQ #PSEN-18-02 - Professional Service Providers

7) Bld Tab for RFQ # PSEN-18-02

8) Firms statements of qualifications and response to RFQ # PSEN-18-02

After your evaluation of the Information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability, no offsetting weaknesses.
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	- 4	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements.

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

When scoring on a computer, you can click on the pink cells to choose a "Quality" from the dropdown list which will automatically calculate the corresponding score for that Category.

For categories such as "Whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a certified minority business enterprise or 0 points if they are not a certified minority business enterprise.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the apreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following

		Ability of Professional Parsonnel	Vinester a firm to a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Past Record	Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	Location	Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	Total	Runk	Notes/Comments	
	Category Multiplier	6	NFA	8	3	2	2				
	Maximum Score	30	5	30	15	10	10	100.00			
Sample		Excellent	Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	74.00			
		30.00	5.00	24.00	9.00	4.00	2.00				
Α	Kimley-Hom and Associates, Inc.	Excellent	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Good	good	good	75.00	4	Excellent answers	
^		30.00	0.00	24.00	9.00	6.00	6.00		'	Excellett Buewetz	
	Baxter & Woodman	very good	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Good	good	good	69.00	2	very good answers	
	Consulting Engineers	24.00	0.00	24.00	9.00	6.00	6.00			TOTY BOOK BITEMOTO	

Note: In the event a score for an individual evaluator results in a tie or the overall score results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and rank the tied vendors based on the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the City, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie by publicly drawing lots

Once the scores have been read for all services, an evaluating member of the committee must make a motion, which must be approved by majority vote of the committee.

Certifier of Score:	\times ()	
Jonathen Cooper Please Print Name	Signatura	

Evaluator Score Sheet July 2, 2019

Instructions:

Each /Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals

- Evaluation Instructions
 This Evaluation Scoring Sheet

3) Bid Tab for PSEN-18-02-05
4) CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05 - "Design & Post Services - Poinciana Drive"

5) Vendor's Responses 6) RFQ # PSEN-18-02 - Professional Service Providers 7) Bid Tab for RFQ # PSEN-18-02 8) Firms statements of qualifications and response to RFQ # PSEN-18-02

After your evaluation of the information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in

Quality	Level	Description	
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements, reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability, no offsetting weaknesses.	
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.	
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.	
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.	
Poor	- 31	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.	
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements:	

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

When scoring on a computer, you can click on the pink cells to choose a "Quality" from the dropdown list which will automatically calculate the corresponding score for that Category.

For categories such as "Whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a certified minority business enterprise or 0 points if they are not a certified minority business enterprise.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following

		Ability of Professional Personnel	Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Past Record	Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	Location	Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	Total	Rank	Notes/Comments
	Category Multiplier	6	N/A	6	3	2	2	400.00		
	Maximum Score	30	5	30	15	10	10	100.00		
	Sample	Excellent 30.00	Certified Minority Business Enterprise 5.00	Very Good	Good 9.00	Fair 4.00	Poor	74:00		
	The second secon						2.00			
	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Excellent	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Vary Good	93.00 1	4	
Α		30.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	8.00		'	
В	Baxter & Woodman	Excellent	Not a Certifled Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Excellent	Excellent	Very Good	87.00	2	
	Consulting Engineers	30.00	0.00	24.00	15.00	10.00	8.00	07.00	2	

Note: In the event a score for an individual evaluator results in a tie or the overall score results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and rank the tied vendors based on the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the City, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluator or evaluator committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the lie by publicly drawing lots.

Once the scores have been read for all services, an evaluating member of the committee must make a motion, which must be approved by majority vote of the committee.

Certifier of Scare:		7-7-10
Steven Buckland	2 ce	
Please Print Name	Signature	Date

Evaluator Score Sheet July 2, 2019

Instructions:

Each /Evaluator is provided with the following information to assist with evaluating the proposals

- 1) Evaluation Instructions
- This Evaluation Scoring Sheet
 Bid Tab for PSEN-18-02-05
- 4) CCNA # PSEN-18-02-05 "Design & Post Services Poinciana Drive"

5) Vendor's Responses 6) RFQ # PSEN-18-02 - Professional Service Providers 7) Bid Tab for RFQ # PSEN-18-02

8) Firms statements of qualifications and response to RFQ # PSEN-18-02

After your evaluation of the Information provided, you will score each of the weighted categories that have a "Category Multiplier" on the evaluator score sheet based on how you feel the material rates according to the following quality levels in the table below:

Quality	Level	Description
Excellent	5	Meets all requirements; reflects significant enhancements or strengths as compared to minimum levels of acceptability; no offsetting weaknesses
Very Good	4	Meets all requirements; reflects some enhancements or strengths; few if any offsetting weaknesses.
Good	3	Meets minimum requirements; strengths and weaknesses, if any, tend to offset one another equally.
Fair	2	Contains significant weaknesses only partially offset by less pronounced strengths; may meet minimum requirements but doubt exists.
Poor	1	Serious doubt exists about ability to meet needs but may be sufficient; significant weaknesses without offsetting strengths.
Deficient	0	Will not meet minimum requirements

The respective "Quality Level" will then be multiplied by the respective "Category Multiplier" to get the Total Score for the respective category. For example, if a category has an pre-assigned "Category Multiplier" of 6 and the evaluator assigns a "Quality" of "Good" which would result in the "Quality Level" of 3 being multiplied by the "Category Multiplier" of 6 to get a Total Score of 18 for the respective category. See sample below.

When scoring on a computer, you can click on the pink cells to choose a "Quality" from the dropdown list which will automatically calculate the corresponding score for that Category.

For categories such as "Whether a firm is a certified minority business enterprise", the firm will be given all 5 points if they are a certified minority business enterprise or 0 points if they are not a certified minority business enterprise.

The Evaluation Committee shall have the opportunity to discuss the qualifications of the proposers during the public evaluation committee meeting. Once all firms have been reviewed, the committee will be given time to finalize their scores for each of the firms. Once the score cards are complete, the City Clerk will tally each evaluator's score card. For each evaluator's score card, the total scores will then be ranked, with the highest score receiving a 1.

Please enter any comments or notes for why you scored each vendor the way that you have in the corresponding "Notes/Comments" section of the spreadsheet or provide additional pages of Notes/Comments on the following

		Ability of Professional Personnel	Whether a firm is a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Paut Record	Willingness to meet time and budget requirements	Location	Recent, current, and projected workloads of the firms	Total	Rank	Notes/Comments
	Category Multiplier	6	N/A	- 6	3	2	2			
Maximum Score			6	30	15	10	10	100.00	Law Tille	
ì	Sample	Excellent	Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	74.00		
		30.00	5.00	24.00	9.00	4.00	2.00		District Control	
— А	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Excellent	Not a Certified Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Very Good	93.00 1	4	
٦		30.00	0.00	30.00	15.00	10.00	8.00		'	
В	Baxter & Woodman	Excellent	Not a Certifled Minority Business Enterprise	Excellent	Very Good	Excellent	Excellent	92.00	2	
D	Consulting Engineers	30.00	0.00	30.00	12.00	10.00	10.00	32.00		

Note: In the event a score for an individual evaluator results in a tie or the overall score results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and rank the tied vendors based on the volume of work previously awarded to each firm by the City, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified firms, provided such distribution does not violate the principle of selection of the most highly qualified firms.

In the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluatior or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie and give preference to a business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program on the Vendor Drug-Free Workplace Certification Form.

in the event the score still results in a tie, the evaluator or evaluation committee will be asked to break the tie by publicly drawing lots.

Once the scores have been read for all services, an evaluating member of the committee must make a motion,	which must be	approved by majority	y vote of the committee
--	---------------	----------------------	-------------------------

Certifier of Score:

John England Please Print Name