## **BUILDING DEPARTMENT SERVICES**

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

## 1. Contract Comparison with Other Cities:

- a) Most comparable sized cities in the County do not contract out their entire building department services. They may use contracts, but only for additional needs.
- b) The Table below shows Building Department information for Broward County cities ranked by population size.

			<u> </u>		
Rank	Area	April 1, 2020 Population	Contracted-Out / In-House	Billing	% of Contract Retained by City
				-	
1	Familia adamata la	180 221	In-house & Contract with CAP &	Haudu Faa	N1/A
1	Fort Lauderdale	189,321	CGA only when needed	Hourly Fee	N/A
					New Proposal - 11.5% of revenues +
					Rent/Admin; City will absorb Credit Card Fees; 100% of City Permit Fees
2	Pembroke Pines	168,949	Contract with CGA	% of Fee	are waived
		•	In-house & Contract with CAP &		
3	Hollywood	151,818	CGA only when needed	Hourly Fee	N/A
4	Miramar	138,873	In-House; & ILA with County for plan review/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	,
			In-House & ILA with with County		
5	Coral Springs	129,263	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
6	Pompano Beach	112,941	In-House	N/A	N/A
7	Davie	105,050	In-house & Contract with CAP & CGA only when needed	Hourly Fee	N/A
	Buric	103,030	In-House; & ILA with County for	riodity rec	13/11
8	Sunrise	94,333	plan review/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			In-House & ILA with with County		
9	Plantation	90,802	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			Contract with CGA		25% up to \$3M then 10%; City pays
			& ILA with County for plan		credit card fees & 50% of City's
10 11	Deerfield Beach Lauderhill	<b>80,178</b> 72,507	reviewers/inspectors	% of Fee N/A	Permit fees are waived N/A
11	Lauderniii	72,507	In-House	N/A	100% goes to the contractor; City
					charges and keeps an additional \$98
12	Weston	67,438	Contract with CAP	% of Fee	on each permit fee
			In-House & ILA with with County		
13	Tamarac	66,089	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
				•	
14	Margato	59,351	In-House & ILA with with County for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Foo	N/A
14	Margate	39,331	ioi pian reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	14/4
			In-House & ILA with with County		
15	Coconut Creek	58,803	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			In-House & ILA with with County		
16	Oakland Park	45,709	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
17	North Lauderdale	45,549	ILA with County	Hourly Fee	N/A
			In-House & ILA with with County		
18	Hallandale Beach	39,945	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			In-House & ILA with with County		
19	Lauderdale Lakes	36,527	for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
20	Parkland	35,438	In-House & ILA with with County for plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
20	i di Nidilu	33,438	In-House; & ILA with County for	Hourly Fee	IV/A
21	Cooper City	34,006	plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			Contract with CAP & ILA with County for		
22	Dania Beach	32,215	inspectors/plan reviewers	Hourly Fee	N/A
			In-House; & ILA with County for		
23	West Park	15,228	plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
24	Wilton Manors	12,857	Contract with CAP	% of Fee	27% of revenues retained by City with contract with CAP
	Acon Manors	12,037	In-House; & ILA with County for	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	only with contract with CAP
25	Lighthouse Point	10,536	plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
			Contract with CAP & ILA with County for	% of Fee Hourly with County as	25% of revenues retained by
26	Southwest Ranches	7,786	inspectors/plan reviewers	needed	City with contract with CAP
			In-House; & ILA with County for		
27	Pembroke Park	6,373	plan reviews/inspections	Hourly Fee	N/A
2.5	Lauderdale-By-The-	6 20-	Combined with CC 5	% of Fee	25% of revenues retained by
<b>28</b> 29	Sea Hillsboro Beach	<b>6,305</b> 1,937	Contract with CAP In-House	% of Fee N/A	City with contract with CAP  N/A
30	Sea Ranch Lakes	682	In-House	N/A	N/A
31	Lazy Lake	28	In-House	N/A	N/A

**2.** Vice Mayor Schwartz wanted to see a comparison with the City of Fort Lauderdale (similar size); not small cities such as West Park. Below are City of Fort Lauderdale and City of Coral Springs.

## **City of Fort Lauderdale:**

- a) The City of Fort Lauderdale is not comparable with Pembroke Pines for building services, even though we are close in population size.
- b) Fort Lauderdale building department employs 134 Full-Time; and 12 Part-time.
- c) Table below shows actuals and Budget for City of Fort Lauderdale.

**Building Funds** 

	FY 2019 Actuals	FY 2020 Adopted Budget	FY 2020 Estimate	FY 2021 Proposed Budget	FY 2020 Adopted vs FY 2021 Proposed Budget	Percent Difference
Revenues					•	
Licenses and Permits	\$ 22,604,109	20,415,000	17,352,750	21,602,750	1,187,750	5.8%
Charges for Services	48,764	32,000	27,200	43,180	11,180	34.9%
Fines and Forfeits	160,821	121,200	103,020	104,250	(16,950)	(14.0%)
Miscellaneous	2,723,992	1,110,348	1,187,572	1,312,231	201,883	18.2%
Appropriated Fund Balance	-	652,453	-	388,900	(263,553)	(40.4%)
Total Revenues	25,537,686	22,331,001	18,670,542	23,451,311	1,120,310	5.0%
Expenditures						
Salaries & Wages	9,389,862	10,538,787	10,347,900	11,477,851	939,064	8.9%
Fringe Benefits	2,921,990	3,295,865	3,118,220	3,797,475	501,610	15.2%
Services & Materials	2,169,334	3,257,027	3,043,915	2,544,834	(712,193)	(21.9%)
Other Operating Expenses	4,258,225	4,974,638	4,871,371	5,161,170	186,532	3.7%
Capital Outlay	456,902	-	100,750	110,760	110,760	100.0%
Transfer Out to General Fund	-	-	6,050,000	-	-	0.0%
Transfer Out to Special Obligation Bonds	282,708	264,684	264,684	303,149	38,465	14.5%
Transfer Out to Capital Projects	-	-	(5,608,433)	-	-	0.0%
Transfer Out to Fund Balance	-	-	-	56,072	56,072	100.0%
Total Expenditures	19,479,021	22,331,001	22,188,407	23,451,311	1,120,310	5.0%
Surplus/(Deficit)	\$ 6,058,665	-	(3,517,865)	-	-	0.0%
Changes in Available Net Position						
Beginning Net Position	16,599,331	43,295,419	22,657,996	19,140,131	(24,155,288)	(55.8%)
Ending Net Position	22,657,996	42,642,966	19,140,131	18,807,303	(23,835,663)	(55.9%)
Net Change	\$ 6,058,665	(652,453)	(3,517,865)	(332,828)	319,625	(49.0%)

Source: City of Fort Lauderdale FY2021 Proposed Budget

# **City of Coral Springs:**

- a) The City of Coral Springs was also mentioned as a comparable City.
- b) For FY2019, the City of Coral Springs net Revenues were \$606,320; which was 18% of total Revenues.
- c) For FY2020, they are projecting net revenues of \$180,905 or 6% of total revenues.
- d) Under our new proposal Pembroke Pines will net \$763K or 14% of total revenues.

## Revenues and Expenditures by Category

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	\$ Change from	% Change from
Building	Actuals	Actuals	Budget	Budget	FY20 Budget	FY20 Budget
Revenues:						
Program Summary						
Revenue	\$3,383,018	\$3,440,946	\$3,102,915	\$3,198,994	\$96,079	3.10%
Total	\$3,383,018	\$3,440,946	\$3,102,915	\$3,198,994	\$96,079	3.10%
Expenditures:						
Program Summary						
Building	\$2,710,251	\$2,834,626	\$2,922,010	\$3,036,858	\$114,848	3.93%
Total	\$2,710,251	\$2,834,626	\$2,922,010	\$3,036,858	\$114,848	3.93%
Expenditures:						
Summary By Category						
Personal Services	\$1,747,394	\$1,786,381	\$1,910,962	\$2.045.665	\$134,703	7.05%
Benefits	736,898	722,564	750,434	764,499	14,065	1.87%
Other Expenses	225,959	325,681	260,614	226,694	(33,920)	-13.02%
Operating Capital	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Total	\$2,710,251	\$2,834,626	\$2,922,010	\$3,036,858	\$114,848	3.93%
Positions	27.00	27.00	26.00	27.00	1.00	3.85%
FTE's	27.00	27.00	26.00	27.00	1.00	3.85%

**Source: City of Coral Springs FY2021 Proposed Budget** 

# 3. Comparison with Other Cities -Showing each City's actual revenues and expenses.

- a) It is very difficult to compare cities as each has a different structure, fee schedule, and contract.
- b) Wilton Manors retains the highest percentage of revenues at 27%; however the building fund shows losses of -\$576K for FY2019.
- c) The table uses each city's actual revenues and expenses. For Pembroke Pines we are using the new CGA proposal.

			-	CONTRACTED OL	JT			IN-HOUS	E		
			FY2020	FY2019	FY2019	FY2019	FY2019	FY2019	FY2019	FY2019	
Revenues collected by CGA	Line Items		Deerfield Beach Contract City receives 25% for 1st \$3M; then 10%; City covers credit card fees & 50% of City permit fees waived	customers \$98 per permit per trade. Contractor	Wilton Manors City receives 27% contract with CAP. City permits are waived. CC fees passed on to the customer	Southwest Ranches City receives 25% contract with CAP. City pays for its own permits. Contractor pays \$6,000 in rent. CC fees passed on to the customer	Fort Lauderdale	Hollywood	Miramar	Coral Springs	
5,350,582	1 Revenues	\$ 615,317	\$ 6,081,553	\$ 3,368,980	\$ 928,666	\$ 1,138,957	\$ 25,537,686	\$ 10,195,512	\$ 5,402,738	\$ 3,440,946	
	2 Admin Fee (guaranteed)	\$ 182,287	\$ -	\$ -	`	\$ -		`	`	`	
	3 Rent (guaranteed)	\$ 178,641	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	TOTAL	\$ 976,245	\$ 6,081,553	\$ 3,368,980	\$ 928,666	\$ 1,144,957	\$ 25,537,686	\$ 10,195,512	\$ 5,402,738	\$ 3,440,946	
Expenditures		\$ 212,586	\$ 5,587,064	\$ 3,594,271	\$ 1,504,458	\$ 839,668	\$ 19,479,02	\$ 6,677,777	\$ 3,458,272	\$ 2,834,626	
Total Rev over I	Exp	\$ 763,659	\$ 494,489	\$ (225,291)	\$ (575,792)	\$ 305,289	\$ 6,058,665	\$ 3,517,735	\$ 1,944,466	\$ 606,320	
			New Fund was created in FY2020. Information for FY2019 was commingled in the General Fund								

**4. Comparison with Other Cities** —This is a hypothetical example assuming Cities have the same revenues, permit fees and structure as Pembroke Pines @ \$5.3 Million.

Revenues collected by CGA	Line Items	New CGA Proposal 11.5% of revenues; 100% Waived Fees; City pays credit card fees	Deerfield Beach Contract City receives 25% for 1st \$3M; then 10%; City covers credit card fees & 50% of permit fees	Weston City charges customers \$98 per permit per trade. Contractor receives 100% of permit fees	Southwest Ranches City receives 25% contract with CAP. City pays for its own permits. Contractor pays \$6,000 in rent. CC fees passed on to the customer	Wilton Manors City receives 27% contract with CAP. City permits are waived. CC fees passed on to the customer
5,350,582	1 Add'l Revenues based on a %	\$ 615,317	\$ 985,058	Not Comparable	\$ 1,337,645	\$ 1,444,657
	2 Admin Fee (guaranteed)	\$ 182,287	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	`
	3 Rent (guaranteed)	\$ 178,641	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -
	4 Credit Card/Bank Fees	\$ (212,586)	\$ (212,586)		\$ -	\$ -
	5 Waived Fees (assumed \$193k)	\$ -	\$ (96,684)		\$ (193,368)	\$ -
	NET TOTAL	\$ 763,659	\$ 675,788	\$ -	\$ 1,150,277	\$ 1,444,657
Co	mpared to New CGA Proposal		\$ (87,871)	Not Comparable	\$ 386,619	\$ 680,998
	Net as a % of Total Revenues	14%	13%	Not Comparable	21%	27%

**5. Comparison with Other Cities** – This is a hypothetical example assuming Cities have the same revenues, permit fees and structure as Pembroke Pines @ \$2.7 million (50% lower). We do not anticipate that revenues will be 50% lower.

Revenues collected by CGA		11.5 100	PPines w CGA Proposal 5% of revenues; W Waived Fees; ity pays credit	fo 10 cre	eerfield Beach ty receives 25% or 1st \$3M; then 10%; City covers edit card fees &	cu pe trad rec		Cit pe pa	uthwest Ranches ity receives 25% ontract with CAP. y pays for its own rmits. Contractor lys \$6,000 in rent. fees passed on to	w	
@ 50%	Line Items		card fees	50°	% of permit fees	p	ermit fees		the customer		customer
2,675,291	1 Add'l Revenues based on a %	\$	307,658	\$	668,823	Not	Comparable	\$	668,823	\$	722,329
	2 Admin Fee (guaranteed)	\$	182,287	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	3 Rent (guaranteed)	\$	178,641	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,000	\$	-
	4 Credit Card/Bank Fees	\$	(106,293)	\$	(106,293)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	5 Waived Fees (assumed \$193k)	\$	-	\$	(96,684)	\$	-	\$	(193,368)	\$	-
	NET TOTAL	\$	562,293	\$	465,846	\$	-	\$	481,455	\$	722,329
Co	ompared to New CGA Proposal	\$	-	\$	(96,448)	Not	Comparable	\$	(80,839)	\$	(41,330)
	Net as a % of Total Revenues		21%		17%				18%		27%

<sup>1.</sup> If Revenues fall by 50% to \$2.7 million; the City % collected by the City increases to 21% since the Rent and Admin Fees are not based on a % of Revenues.

## 6. Review of Permit Fees

To review each individual city's permit fees would be a massive undertaking given all the various fees and charges. Instead we have compared permit fees for various projects for Cities with populations greater than 100,000.

Three project types and price points were selected for the permit fee comparison:

- 1. Residential Alteration,
- 2. Commercial Alteration and
- 3. New Commercial/Residential Construction.

Fees calculations are very complex and a true apples to apples comparison is difficult.

The following are some causes of fee variations between Municipalities and between project types:

- The total value of construction activity within a municipality and the economy of scale
- Amount of costs deferred from low cost single family home construction permits to higher value commercial permits
- Some municipalities charge different permit fees for new construction vs. alteration/remodel construction

1. Residential Alteration Project	
Structural Cost	\$7,650
Plumbing cost	\$1,700
Electrical cost	\$850
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$10,200
City	Permit Fee Cost
1. Miramar	\$630.00
2. Ft. Lauderdale	\$542.48
3. Pembroke Pines	\$531.63
4. Coral Springs	\$495.36
5. Pompano Beach	\$488.63
6. Hollywood	\$378.83

2. Commercial Alteration Project	
Structural Cost	\$66,118
Plumbing cost	\$3,000
Electrical cost	\$8,000
Mechanical cost	\$7,882
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$85,000
City	Permit Fee Cost
1. Coral Springs	\$3,885.35
11. Corai Springs	75,005.55
2. Pompano Beach	\$3,202.99
2. Pompano Beach	\$3,202.99
2. Pompano Beach 3. Pembroke Pines	\$3,202.99 \$3,015.15

3. New Construction (Residential	or Commerical)
Structural Cost	\$780,000
Plumbing cost	\$35,000
Electrical cost	\$95,000
Mechanical cost	\$90,000
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$1,000,000
City	Permit Fee Cost
City  1. Pembroke Pines	
Pembroke Pines     Pompano Beach	<b>Cost</b> \$34,722.01 \$30,481.65
1. Pembroke Pines	Cost \$34,722.01
Pembroke Pines     Pompano Beach	<b>Cost</b> \$34,722.01 \$30,481.65
1. Pembroke Pines 2. Pompano Beach 3. Miramar	Cost \$34,722.01 \$30,481.65 \$29,150.00