



Legislation Text

File #: 20-0787, **Version:** 1

ZV(R) 2020-20
Brian Hinkle, 18405 NW 13 Street

SUMMARY EXPLANATION AND BACKGROUND: PROJECT DESCRIPTION / BACKGROUND:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION / BACKGROUND:

Brian Hinkle, owner, has submitted a variance request for a proposed paver driveway and sidewalk. Requested variance ZV(R) 2020-20 is to allow a 43% front yard lot coverage with a driveway and sidewalk, instead of the allowed 35% front lot coverage with a driveway and sidewalk.

The proposed location and width of 21.4' meet City code.

Homeowner's Association approval and neighbor support letters for the proposed driveway are attached to variance application.

VARIANCE REQUEST DETAILS:

ZV(R) 2020-20) allow a 43% front yard lot coverage instead of the required 35% total front yard lot coverage for a driveway and sidewalk.

Code Reference: § 52.26 DRIVEWAYS ACROSS SWALE AREAS.

(H) No driveway may:

- (1) Exceed a 35% of the total front lot coverage in a single family residential home;

VARIANCE DETERMINATION

The Board of Adjustment shall not grant any single-family residential variances, permits, or make any decision, finding, and determination unless it first determines that:

Its decision and action taken is in harmony with the general purposes of the zoning ordinances of the city and is not contrary to the public interest, health, or welfare, taking into account the

character and use of adjoining buildings and those in the vicinity, the number of persons residing or working in the buildings, and traffic conditions in the vicinity.

In the granting of single-family residential variances, the Board shall determine that the single-family residential variance granted is the minimum variance that will accomplish the intended purpose in accordance with above and:

- A) That there are special circumstances or conditions applying to the land or building for which the variance is sought, which circumstances are peculiar to the land or building and do not apply generally to land or buildings in the neighborhood, and that the strict application of the provisions of the zoning ordinances would result in an unnecessary hardship and deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or building; or
- B) That any alleged hardship is not self-created by any person having an interest in the property nor is the result of a mere disregard for or in ignorance of the provisions of the zoning ordinances of the city; or
- C) That granting the variance is not incompatible with public policy, will not adversely affect any adjacent property owners, and that the circumstances which cause the special conditions are peculiar to the subject property.